



REGULAR MEETING MINUTES

**Wednesday, February 25, 2015
Art Pick Council Chambers
3900 Main Street, Riverside, CA**

REGULAR MEETING – 5:30 PM

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Roll Call

Rotker	Hawkins	Ybarra	Taylor	Smith	Jackson	Roberts	Andres	Adams
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

✓ = Present **B** = Absent / Business **S** = Absent / Sick **V** = Absent / Vacation **O** = Absent / Other
UE = Absent / Unexcused **L** = Late **LE** = Left Early **■** = Vacant

STAFF: Frank Hauptmann, CPRC Manager; Phoebe Sherron, Sr. Office Specialist

Public Comments

Public comment on any subject matter within the Commission's jurisdiction.

Teresa Newham alleged that Chief Diaz violated her civil rights by having her followed and stated that she was going to file a complaint. She said that the problems stem from the medical marijuana issues being discussed in the city and recommended that Commissioners watch the February 24th Council Meeting for more information.

Carolyn Brodeur voiced her concerns about the problems that rape victims experience and the misconception by many that because women don't go to the police immediately, they're fabricating the incident. She spoke of her own experiences and said that she no longer trusts the police because of the manner in which her situation was handled.

Paul Chavez spoke of his concern regarding the Jimenez case that was now almost a year and a half since the incident occurred. He said that he hoped the officers' recorders were on and asked Mr. Hauptmann to check on the status of the case.

Approval of Minutes

Minutes for Approval:		January 28, 2015 Regular Meeting	
Motion:	Adams	Approve:	Rotker, Hawkins, Ybarra, Taylor, Smith, Jackson, Roberts, Andres, Adams
Second:	Ybarra	Oppose:	None
		Abstain:	None

CPRC Ad-hoc Committees

Reports from Committee Chairs, with Commission discussion and action, if any, regarding respective Committee activities and / or recommendations:

A) Policies, Procedures, and By Laws Committee: Commissioner Rotker – Chair

B) Outreach Committee: Vice-Chair Adams – Chair

C) Policing and Mental Health Committee: Vice-Chair Adams – Chair

D) NACOLE 2015 Conference Planning Committee: Commissioner Roberts – Chair

A) Policies, Procedures, and By Laws Committee: Commissioner Rotker – Chair

Commissioner Rotker reported that the Committee had had a lengthy meeting earlier in February and that they were making good progress. He said the Committee was scheduled to meet again in March.

B) Outreach Committee: Vice-Chair Adams – Chair

Vice-Chair Adams said the Committee would be meeting on March 9th and that they would be discussing some of Commissioner Smith's suggestions and would also be getting some input from Mr. Hauptmann regarding the Outstanding Officer award.

C) Policing and Mental Health Committee: Vice-Chair Adams – Chair

Vice-Chair Adams said the Committee met today with Lt. Hoxmeier and Mental Health Department representatives. She said they are currently looking at holding a Mental Health symposium on May 7th from 6 – 8 PM and that it will be a good opportunity to let the community know what RPD is doing. She said the Committee will meet again in March.

D) NACOLE 2015 Conference Planning Committee: Commissioner Roberts – Chair

Chair Jackson said that the NACOLE Board was in Riverside for their mid-winter meeting at the end of January. Commissioners and Board members were able to meet at the President's Reception. Then the following day, the Commission's Planning Committee met with the Board. When the Board has its mid-winter meeting, one of its tasks is to discuss the workshop sessions' RFPs and schedule for the upcoming conference. Chair Jackson advised that the NACOLE Board had recently sent out a tentative workshop session schedule. She said workshop RFPs submitted by CPRC included one for mental health, another for body cameras, CPRC history, a panel consisting of different police chiefs where their experiences with oversight would be discussed, along with a suggestion for the keynote speaker.

Chair Jackson said that she also serves on NACOLE's Scholarship Committee. She said that this Committee has fundraisers to raise money to help people attend the conference who cannot afford the cost. She said that the scholarship application was now available on the NACOLE website (nacole.org) and noted that the fundraiser dinner would take place at Heroes.

Chair Jackson said the CPRC's Planning Committee would probably meet monthly from now on and would be working closely with NACOLE's Planning Committee, but noted that there might be more meetings as the conference date get closer.

Chair Jackson noted that the number of Commissioners who can participate on the local ad-hoc Planning Committee is at its maximum. However, as the conference date gets closer, there will be additional tasks that need to be handled, so additional ad-hoc committees may need to be created to take care of those tasks.

Chair Jackson also said that during the NACOLE Board meeting that was held while in Riverside, the CPRC Planning Committee members were told that NACOLE has never seen a local team be as involved in conference planning and preparation as Riverside's has been.

Mr. Hauptmann added that the Board said that the conference RFP submitted by CPRC was the best they had ever seen and that it will be used as the template for future conferences. The Board also said they have never had so many things taken care of and as much commitment from a host city as they've had with Riverside and the CPRC. He commended the Committee Members for their accomplishments and said he believed that CPRC had set a new standard for future conferences.

Commissioner Roberts asked to schedule a local NACOLE Conference Planning Committee Meeting. After a brief discussion by the Committee Members, it was decided to hold the next meeting on March 20th at 9 AM.

Meetings and Training Sessions

Reports from Commissioners regarding CPRC-based meetings, non-Outreach meetings, and / or training sessions attended in January / February.

Chair Jackson turned this item over to Vice-Chair Adams.

Commissioner Andres:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- February 19: Commissioner Orientation and Training with Mr. Hauptmann and Ms. Sherron

Commissioner Ybarra:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium
- February 17: Commission Reappointment Interview with the Mayor and City Council
- February 17: Policies, Procedures, & Bylaws Meeting

Commissioner Hawkins:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- February 13 – Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium

Commissioner Rotker:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- February 17: Policies, Procedures, & Bylaws Meeting

Commissioner Smith:

- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium

Mr. Hauptmann:

- January 30: NACOLE Board Luncheon at the Convention Center
- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium
- February 17: Policies, Procedures, & Bylaws Meeting

Ms. Sherron:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- January 30: NACOLE Board Luncheon at the Convention Center
- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium
- February 17: Policies, Procedures, & Bylaws Meeting
- February 19: Commissioner Andres' Laserfiche Training

Chair Jackson:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- January 30: NACOLE Board Luncheon at the Convention Center
- February 9: NACOLE's Conference Planning Committee Meeting
- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium
- February 17: Commission Reappointment Interview with the Mayor and City Council
- February 17: Policies, Procedures, & Bylaws Meeting
- February 19: CPRC Officers' Meeting with Mr. Hauptmann
- February 25: Policing & Mental Health Committee Meeting with Riverside County Mental Health

Vice-Chair Adams:

- January 29: "Meet & Greet" NACOLE Board at Mission Inn
- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium
- February 17: Commission Reappointment Interview with the Mayor and City Council
- February 19: CPRC Officers' Meeting with Mr. Hauptmann
- February 23: Symposium on Mental Illness in Chino Hills
- February 25: Policing & Mental Health Committee Meeting with Riverside County Mental Health

Outreach

A) January / February Outreach

Mr. Hauptmann

- February: Cal Chiefs Conference; spoke with a number of chiefs about CPRC and civilian oversight

Chair Jackson:

- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium

Vice-Chair Adams:

- February 13: Police Oversight Investigation Training & Symposium

Commissioner Taylor:

- February 10: "Meet & Greet" RPD's new area commanders for the Central and West NPCs
- February 17: "Battle of the Badge" Blood Drive

Commissioner Andres:

- February 4: American Legion Board Meeting
- February 9: Residents for Responsible Representation (RRR) Meeting

B) Upcoming Outreach Events and Opportunities

- 1) Chief's Breakfast: March 26th at 6:45 AM, Music Room, Mission Inn
- 2) Eastside Community Fair: March 28th at 10 AM, Bobby Bonds Park
- 3) Dr. Thompson's Community Relations Class: April 8th at 8 AM, RCC

Vice-Chair Adams said that she had just received an invitation to the Chief's Breakfast and asked if she needed to RSVP or if the Commission was responding as a group. Ms. Sherron said she would reserve a

table as she had done in the past and said she only needed to know who was interested in attending so she could let RPD know.

Vice-Chair Adams noted the Eastside Community Fair and the presentation at Dr. Thompson's Community Relations class.

Vice-Chair Adams said that Ms. Sherron had given her a "save the date" card regarding this year's Law Enforcement Appreciation (LEAC) event on April 30th in Temecula. Vice-Chair Adams asked if the Commission would be attending LEAC this year due to possible budget constraints because of the NACOLE conference. Mr. Hauptmann said he would have to look at the budget and make a determination on whether or not the CPRC would pay for Commissioners' attendance as it has in the past, but probably not.

C) Future Outreach Opportunities

- 1) Input from Outreach Coordinator on upcoming outreach events
- 2) Input from Commission Members on potential outreach events

Vice-Chair Adams asked if anyone had any input regarding future Outreach opportunities; there were none. She then asked Ms. Sherron if she had heard back from the Mayor's Office regarding the dates for Mayor's Night Out. Ms. Sherron said she had received no response.

Commissioner Smith asked Vice-Chair Adams for clarification of her comment "could Ferguson happen in Riverside." Vice-Chair Adams said she was referring to the presentation that would take place in Dr. Thompson's class and that it would focus on whether a Ferguson-type situation could happen in Riverside. She also noted that in past presentations, Commissioners had told the students how and why they became Commissioners and that Mr. Hauptmann would tell the students about the Commission and what it does. She said in the last class, Dr. Thompson had focused on the Ferguson situation and wanted to do that again this semester.

OLD BUSINESS

Hopes Officer-Involved Death (OID) Case Evaluation

Continued discussion and action, if any, on the Hopes OID Case Evaluation. The Commission may return to discussion of completed Stages, if needed.

- A) Stage I – Commission Member Review: Completed
- B) Stage II – Fact Finding, Request for Training & Investigation: Completed
- C) Stage III – Policy and Procedure Process: Completed
- D) Stage IV – Deliberation and Finding Process: Completed
- E) Stage V – Recommendation Process: Completed
- F) Stage VI – Written Public Report: In Process

Chair Jackson opened by asking Commissioners if they'd had the opportunity to review the public report.

Vice-Chair Adams said she had a few comments. She said that Pages 5 and 6 contained a lot of detailed information and officer input that could be left out because this information didn't really provide any needed impact regarding the Commission's decision and wasn't directly relevant to the incident itself.

There was discussion regarding Vice-Chair Adams' suggestion to remove information contained on Pages 5 and 6. Mr. Hauptmann noted that the public report includes comments made by all the Commissioners and that the information could be helpful for someone who hasn't read the criminal casebook.

Vice-Chair Adams also said that under "Rationale for Finding" on Page 8, she felt the information in the second paragraph regarding the DA's report should be removed. She said it could make the reader believe that the DA's decision impacted the Commission's decision.

Commissioner Rotker voiced his agreement with both of Vice-Chair Adams' suggestions.

Chair Jackson agreed with Vice-Chair Adams' about the statement regarding the DA's report. She did not, however, agree with removing all the noted information on Pages 5 and 6. She said she felt that information validated the actions of the involved officers and that it should remain in the report. Commissioner Rotker said that some of the information was germane, but some wasn't really necessary.

Chair Jackson said that her concern was that she thought Mr. Hopes had fallen on top of his gun and that the canine was used to pull Mr. Hopes away from the weapon. Mr. Hauptmann said that one of the officers' observed that he had seen the gun fall to the side, but it appeared that Mr. Hopes fell on the gun after it fell.

Commissioner Roberts said she was okay with everything remaining except the paragraph on Page 8 containing the statement regarding the DA's report.

Commissioner Taylor said he always includes all the facts in his rationales. He said that the DA's finding was also contained in the report the Commission received and felt it should be included.

Mr. Hauptmann noted that the DA does not make their decisions based on policy, but rather determines whether or not there is any criminal culpability on the part of an involved officer.

Vice-Chair Adams said she was okay with the information on Pages 5 and 6 staying in the report, but was adamant about removal of the DA's report, noting that the DA's finding had no bearing on the decision reached by the Commission and would give readers the impression that the Commission was acting as a rubber stamp in its findings.

Commissioner Rotker said he would be willing to approve the report as is, but asked if comments about the DA's findings were included in previous OID public reports. He said if they were included in prior reports, the Commission should maintain consistency by including the DA's findings in this report as well.

Commissioner Hawkins said he understood the concerns voiced by other Commissioners, but said he felt it was relevant and suggested that it be reworded.

Vice-Chair Adams noted that while it shouldn't be part of the rationale, that maybe it could be considered as part of the facts in the case. She said that the DA's finding had nothing to do with her decision as to whether or not the officers' actions were within policy.

Ms. Sherron said that she knew that previous reports contained statements about the DA's findings, but that she couldn't recall their location in those reports. She said if the Commission wished it, she would check on that.

Chair Jackson said she notes it in her rationales, but more as a note of what she had reviewed rather than the basis for her decision regarding the finding.

Vice-Chair Adams also questioned whether the Commission actually concluded that the DA's investigation was conducted in a fair and impartial manner. She said she didn't recall the Commission discussing the DA's investigation of the case. She said she was okay with stating the Commission reviewed the DA's finding as part of the facts of the case, but again noted that the DA's decision was not part of her rationale for her finding.

Ms. Sherron asked Vice-Chair Adams if she wanted it moved to another section. Vice-Chair Adams said it could be moved to the section containing the facts of the case, but that reference to the Commission's evaluation of the DA's investigation should be removed.

Chair Jackson asked Commissioners if they had advised Mr. Hauptmann of any of their concerns regarding the report and none had.

Vice-Chair Adams agreed with Ms. Sherron's suggestion that it be moved to Section IV and that the last sentence be removed.

Chair Jackson said that it seemed that another version of the report was being created and wanted to get feedback from Commissioners regarding the suggested changes. She asked Vice-Chair Adams exactly what she was suggesting. Vice-Chair Adams recommended that the paragraph in Section VII stating, "We reviewed the contents of the report..." be moved to Section IV, but remove the portion that states, "We concluded that the investigation, including the Riverside County DA's Office, was conducted in a fair and impartial manner."

Mr. Hauptmann suggested that Commissioners be asked if they used the DA's finding in their rationales. He said that he understood Vice-Chair Adams' concern, but noted that the information contained in the report was information that was provided to him by the Commissioners.

Chair Jackson said that she would ask, but that she wanted to address one item at a time. She said that the current suggestion was to move the second paragraph under Section VII, Rationale for Finding, located on Page 8, and asked for a show of hands from those who were okay with leaving it in its current location. The show of hands was a minority of the Commission, so she asked Vice-Chair Adams to reiterate her suggestion.

Vice-Chair Adams said she recommended that the paragraph be moved from Section VII to the end of Section V. She also suggested that reference to the Riverside DA's Office be removed and that the last sentence read, "The Commission concluded that the investigation of this officer-involved shooting by the Riverside Police Department was conducted in a fair and impartial manner, and met or exceeded POST standards of practice."

Mr. Hauptmann noted that the comment was part of Independent Investigator Mike Bumcrot's report, and that he has been tasked with providing his expert opinion after reviewing the criminal casebook. He said it was the Commission's decision as to whether or not to make changes, but that he wanted everyone to know where the information had originated and that other Commissioners had included it in their rationales.

Chair Jackson also expressed concern about relying on the DA's findings in that the Commission does not know their policies or procedures. She said that Mr. Bumcrot may be privy to other information, but the Commission is only looking at RPD's policies and procedures. Mr. Hauptmann said he didn't believe it had anything to do with the DA's letter. Both Chair Jackson and Vice-Chair Adams disagreed, noting that that's what the statement in the report says. Chair Jackson said she will note that RPD's investigation was conducted properly, but does not include the DA's office in that statement. Mr. Hauptmann said that Mr. Bumcrot makes a determination as to whether or not RPD's investigation was thorough, fair, and impartial. Vice-Chair Adams said that was referencing the DA's Office and not Mr. Bumcrot's report. Mr. Hauptmann said that was an error on his part.

Vice-Chair Adams again suggested that the paragraph be moved from Section VII to Section IV and that the last sentence read, "The Commission also concluded that the investigation of this officer-involved shooting incident by the Riverside Police Department was conducted in a fair and impartial manner, and met or exceeded POST standards of practice," and excluding "...and the Riverside County District Attorney's Office..." She also said she had no problem with the information on Pages 5 and 6 remaining in the report.

Chair Jackson asked for a show of hands regarding removal of the information on Pages 5 and 6; the general consensus indicated that the information on those pages remain in the report.

Chair Jackson then noted that on Page 4, Paragraph 2, she said it was her understanding that the sergeant looked around the corner, saw Mr. Hopes, and made verbal commands. She also stated that she had sent her questions and concerns to Mr. Hauptmann. Mr. Hauptmann said he had not had a chance to review the report regarding the concerns she had sent him, but that he would make that review and advise the Commission of those results.

Chair Jackson again noted that she thought Mr. Hopes' gun fell underneath him, not beside him. Both Vice-Chair Adams and Commissioner Rotker said Mr. Hopes' gun fell next to him. Chair Jackson said that was not her recollection, but that she would accept the majority's assessment. She also asked Mr. Hauptmann to check on her previously noted concern.

Motion for Approval:		That the Commission approve, as amended, the Hopes OLD Public Report	
Motion:	Roberts	Approve:	Rotker, Hawkins, Ybarra, Taylor, Smith, Jackson, Roberts, Adams
Second:	Adams	Oppose:	None
		Abstain:	Andres

2014 Annual Report

Discussion and action, if any, on Draft No. 2 of the 2014 Annual Report.

Chair Jackson asked Ms. Sherron to provide an update on the annual report draft.

Ms. Sherron said that most of the text was updated, but that she was waiting for the Chair's Message. She said the biggest task now was to add photos.

Ms. Sherron noted that Commissioner Rotker had also asked her about the Committee Meetings page. Commissioner Rotker said such a listing of all the meetings Commissioners were involved in had never been done before, felt it wasn't necessary to be so detailed, and that it should be removed. Vice-Chair Adams agreed with Commissioner Rotker and thought it was unnecessary. Ms. Sherron said she had added it because Chair Jackson had mentioned that she wanted to recognize the Committees, but had misunderstood what Chair Jackson had wanted. Chair Jackson agreed with Commissioner Rotker and Vice-Chair Adams, noting that her intent had been to recognize those who served on the Committees, not the various meetings that were held. Ms. Sherron said that the page would be removed based on current discussion and that the photos taken before today's meeting would serve as that recognition.

Commissioner Rotker said he had no other concerns with the report.

Vice-Chair Adams said she had other suggestions that she had already given to Ms. Sherron. Ms. Sherron said she hoped to have those modifications taken care of and the updated draft available by the end of the week.

Chair Jackson asked Commissioners if they had any questions or comments. As there were none, Chair Jackson reminded Commissioners that the report had to be approved at the March meeting. She asked that they review the draft and advise Ms. Sherron of any corrections or concerns as soon as possible so that any needed changes can be made and the modified draft posted.

Chair Jackson also noted that Ms. Sherron had asked about the need for a second meeting in March, but Chair Jackson said that if the work has been completed within the next couple of weeks, that would allow sufficient time for any changes to be made and the updated version made available for review.

Commissioner Rotker asked if the version the Commission will have for review and discussion will be the final, ready-to-be-published version of the report. Ms. Sherron said that she would let everyone know when an update of the report was available and that Commissioners would have the final version by March 25th. Commissioner Rotker asked if the Chair's Message would be included. Ms. Sherron said that the only things that needed to be added were the photos, their captions, and the Chair's Message.

Chair Jackson asked Ms. Sherron if she would be able to have the final version ready within the next couple of weeks. Ms. Sherron said she could.

Chair Jackson asked Commissioners to send any changes, questions or concerns to Ms. Sherron within the next week or so. She said that this would allow Ms. Sherron the necessary time to update the report and have the final version to Commissioners within a week of the next meeting.

Chair Jackson asked Ms. Sherron if the final version would be ready by March 20th. Ms. Sherron said that would give her more than enough time to provide the final version.

Mr. Hauptmann noted that NACOLE had created a lot of additional work for everyone, but said that the report would be done on time and thanked the Commissioners and Ms. Sherron for their hard work.

NEW BUSINESS

Upcoming CPRC Officer Elections

Announcement by Chair that Annual Elections for Chair and Vice-Chair will be held during the first meeting in March as required by Section 804 of the City Charter.

Chair Jackson opened by explaining the election process to the new Commissioners. She noted that the annual officer elections take place at the beginning of the meeting. She read the following statement from Greg Priamos, former Riverside City Attorney:

"Please be advised that the Brown Act prohibits members of a board or commission from using email to discuss, deliberate or otherwise address any issue within the subject matter jurisdiction of the body. It is legally irrelevant whether or not a collective concurrence is reached outside of a properly noticed meeting. The mere act of emailing a quorum in an attempt to influence is a violation of the law. Consequently, all discussions relative to officer elections including nominations and the vote on the Chair and Vice-chair must occur as part of the formal meeting agenda. Please feel free to share this opinion with the members of the CPRC."

Chair Jackson stated that a Commissioner can nominate another Commissioner or note their own interest in an officer position. She asked that Mr. Hauptmann be advised of any nominations or interest in order to minimize contact among Commissioners regarding this. She then asked if there were any questions.

Commissioner Hawkins asked if it would be inappropriate to ask another Commissioner if they were interested in serving as Chair. Mr. Hauptmann said that it would be okay to ask someone if they were interested. He noted that that person could also be nominated during the meeting, but if that person was not interested in serving, they could decline. Chair Jackson said that would be done at the beginning of the March meeting, which Mr. Hauptmann confirmed.

Mr. Hauptmann also said that, prior to all future officer elections, Mr. Priamos' advisement needed to be reiterated as a reminder to Commissioners of Brown Act requirements.

Commissioner Smith asked how Commissioners are supposed to know who the candidates and their "value statements." Chair Jackson said that candidates could be given a few minutes at the beginning of the meeting to provide that information.

Staff Report

Mr. Hauptmann said that he would be talking with the City Manager's Office regarding NACOLE and the CPRC budget.

Ms. Sherron advised the Commission that the method by which they would be accessing Laserfiche would be changing back to the 2-factor authentication process. She said that wouldn't happen for a month or two, but wanted to let Commissioners know of the upcoming change.

Commissioner Rotker said that in an earlier discussion about NACOLE and its effect on the Commission's budget, Mr. Hauptmann had stated that the Commission might not be able to sponsor a table at this year's Law Enforcement Appreciation event. Commissioner Rotker asked about the Commission's NACOLE expenditures, noting that he thought this was something the City was sponsoring, not the Commission.

Mr. Hauptmann advised that this could not be discussed during this meeting and that it would need to be agendized for future discussion. Commissioner Rotker asked that it be included on the agenda for discussion during the next meeting.

Commissioner Comments

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.2, Commissioners may use this time to make brief announcements or a brief report on their own activities.

Chair Jackson asked Commissioners if they had any comments.

Commissioner Smith said he looked forward to feedback from the Outreach Committee and volunteered for that committee.

Commissioner Hawkins asked if there had been a response regarding Commissioners getting City e-mail addresses. Chair Jackson said the answer was no and confirmed that with Mr. Hauptmann.

Items for Future Commission Consideration

Items for future Commission consideration can be agendized by any Commissioner. Discussion and action, if any, will occur only during the meeting for which that item has been agendized.

Chair Jackson asked Commissioners if they had items for future Commission consideration.

Commissioner Rotker commented on RPD's draft policy regarding the complaint process. He said he had told Mr. Hauptmann of his questions and concerns regarding a number of items in the draft policy. He said there needs to be discussion with RPD on how this draft policy is compatible with the Commission's complaint process and asked that this be agendized for the next meeting.

Commissioner Taylor said he had also told Mr. Hauptmann of his concerns regarding the draft policy.

Mr. Hauptmann asked Commissioners to let him know of any questions or concerns they might have and that he would share that information with RPD. He said he had already spoken with Asst. Chief Vicino about some of the concerns expressed.

Chair Jackson asked Commissioners to review the draft policy and let him know of any concerns they might have. She asked if it could then be agendized for discussion at the next meeting. Mr. Hauptmann said that could be done.

Vice-Chair Adams said she felt it might be better for the Commission to discuss it first, draft a list of concerns, and then formally present it to RPD as that is one of the Commission's duties. Mr. Hauptmann said that if this is to be a policy recommendation, those are done in writing.

Adjournment

The Commission adjourned at 7:45 PM.

Respectfully submitted,



PHOEBE SHERRON
Sr. Office Specialist